

## **PERFORMANCE RESULTS 2012/13 QUARTER 3**



Achieving safer and more sustainable communities













ESFRS Performance Report Quarter 3 2012/13

Number   Indicator description   Q3 2011/12   Q3 2012/13			ESFRS Performance Report Quarter 3 2012/13					_		
No of accidental dwelling fires (ADFs)		Number	Indicator description	Q	3 2011/12	q	3 2012/13		year end	community threshold for fatalities &
7   Home Safety Visits (HSVs)   2,293   2,834   9,709   10,000		1	% of HSVs to vulnerable people	0	78%	0	74%	0	82%	70%
No of primary fires		2	No of accidental dwelling fires (ADFs)	0	146		138		519	510
9		7	Home Safety Visits (HSVs)	0	2,293	0	2,834		9,709	10,000
10		8	No of primary fires	0	313	0	306	0	1,249	1,400
13a	ive 1	9	No of deaths in primary fires	0	3	0	1	0	4	6
13a	rrategic Objecti	10	No of injuries in primary fires	0	15	0	20	0	48	79
13a		11	Deaths in ADFs	0	4	0	0	0	3	4
13a		12	Injuries in ADFs	0	17	0	14	0	35	49
13b   Deliberate primary fires in vehicles   3   3   3   3   3   5   165   226     14	S	13a		0	44	0	40	0	174	253
14   Deliberate secondary fires   157   81   446   837     36   % of fires in dwellings with no smoke alarm   19.5%   21.0%   22.3%   32%     37   No of fires in non-domestic properties   42   43   173   259     40   Inspections of high risk premises completed   2173   118   629   700     3   % of accidental dwelling fires confined to room of origin   95.2%   93.4%   92.6%   94%     4a   % reduction of automatic fire alarms (AFA)   42   43   43   173   259     4b   % of AFA calls challenged by ESFRS   6.9%   5.5%   3.18%   3.20%   -20%     4c   % of AFA calls turned back by ESFRS   6.9%   5.5%   5.7%   -   4d   % of AFA calls turned back by ESFRS   5.9%   5.5%   5.7%   -   4d   % of AFA calls turned back by ESFRS   5.9%   5.5%   5.7%   -   4d   % of AFA calls turned back by ESFRS   5.9%   5.5%   5.7%   -   4d   % of AFA calls turned back by ESFRS   5.9%   5.5%   5.7%   -   4d   % of AFA calls turned back by ESFRS   5.9%   5.5%   5.7%   -   4d   % of AFA calls turned back by ESFRS   5.9%   5.5%   5.7%   -   4d   % of LTI attended by 1 st appliance within 8 minutes   81.1%   97.27%   3.49%   60%   -   18   % of LTI attended by 1 st appliance within 13 minutes   96.5%   94.7%   95.0%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90%   90				0	33	0	35	0	165	226
36    % of fires in dwellings with no smoke alarm   19.5%   21.0%   23.3%   32%   32%   35   No of fires in non-domestic properties   42    43    173    259    173    118    629    700    3    3    3    3    3    3		14		0	157	0	81	0	446	837
15				=		=		=		
1						-		=		
3    %of accidental dwelling fires confined to room of origin   95.2%   93.4%   92.6%   94%	bj 2					_				
4a    % reduction of automatic fire alarms (AFA)   2-25.60%   3-31.8%   3-32.0%   -20%   4b    % of AFA calls challenged by ESFRS   6.9%   5.9%   8.8%   - 4c    % of AFA calls turned back by ESFRS   5.9%   5.5%   5.7%   - 4d    % of AFA mobilised calls to properties covered by the RRO that were classified as a primary fire   4d    % of LTI attended by 1st appliance within 8 minutes   81.1%   72.7%   74.9%   60%   4d    % of LTI attended by 1st appliance within 13 minutes   96.5%   94.7%   95.0%   90%   4d    % of LTI attended by 1st appliance within 13 minutes   96.5%   94.7%   95.0%   90%   4d    % of LTI attended by 1st appliance within 13 minutes   96.5%   94.7%   95.0%   90%   4d    % of LTI attended by 1st appliance within 13 minutes   90.5%   94.7%   95.0%   90%   4d    % of LTI attended by 1st appliance within 13 minutes   90.5%   94.7%   95.0%   90%   4d    % of LTI attended by 1st appliance within 13 minutes   90.5%   94.7%   95.0%   90%   4d    % of LTI attended by 1st appliance within 13 minutes   90.5%   94.7%   95.0%   90%   4d    % of LTI attended by 2nd appliance within 13 minutes   90.5%   94.7%   95.0%   90%   98.9%   95.8%   60%   98.9%   98.9%   95.8%   60%   90.8%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%   90.9%	0			_		=		_		
4b										
4c    % of AFA calls turned back by ESFRS   5.9%   5.5%   5.7%   -				Ξ		F		Ε		-
Work of AFA mobilised calls to properties covered by the RRO that were classified as a primary fire   18										_
Classified as a primary fire   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%			-							_
21    % of LTI attended by 2nd appliance within 13 minutes   90.8%   86.1%   85.7%   80%     22    % of incidents attended within 20 minutes   99.2%   98.9%   98.9%   95%     % of LTI attended within 8 minutes with a minimum of 8 crew   N/a   57.1%   58.8%   60%     % of LTI attended within 13 minutes with a minimum of 8 crew   N/a   85.2%   85.7%   90%     26		4d	· · ·		2.8%		2.6%		2.6%	-
21    % of LTI attended by 2nd appliance within 13 minutes   90.8%   86.1%   85.7%   80%     22    % of incidents attended within 20 minutes   99.2%   98.9%   98.9%   95%     % of LTI attended within 8 minutes with a minimum of 8 crew   N/a   57.1%   58.8%   60%     % of LTI attended within 13 minutes with a minimum of 8 crew   N/a   85.2%   85.7%   90%     26	Obje	18	% of LTI attended by 1st appliance within 8 minutes		81.1%		72.7%	0	74.9%	60%
21    % of LTI attended by 2nd appliance within 13 minutes   90.8%   86.1%   85.7%   80%     22    % of incidents attended within 20 minutes   99.2%   98.9%   98.9%   95%     % of LTI attended within 8 minutes with a minimum of 8 crew   N/a   57.1%   58.8%   60%     % of LTI attended within 13 minutes with a minimum of 8 crew   N/a   85.2%   85.7%   90%     26	itegic	19	% of LTI attended by 1st appliance within 13 minutes		96.5%		94.7%	0	95.0%	90%
22    % of incidents attended within 20 minutes   99.2%   98.9%   98.9%   95%	Stra	20	% of LTI attended by 2nd appliance within 8 minutes		62.7%		57.5%	0	58.8%	50%
% of LTI attended within 8 minutes with a minimum of 8 crew % of LTI attended within 13 minutes with a minimum of 8 crew % of LTI attended within 13 minutes with a minimum of 8 crew N/a 85.2% 85.7% 90%  Retained (RDS) female firefighters as new entrants A A A A A 15% Minority ethnic staff as new entrants to the FRS A A A A A 5%  Disabled empolyees as new entrants to the FRS A A A A A 5%  The no of working days/shifts lost due to sickness  Number of workplace reported accidents / injuries Number of RIDDOR incidents  Key  A A A A A A E51.50  Affordable community safety services A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		21	% of LTI attended by 2nd appliance within 13 minutes		90.8%		86.1%	0	85.7%	80%
% of LTI attended within 13 minutes with a minimum of 8 crew  Retained (RDS) female firefighters as new entrants  A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		22	% of incidents attended within 20 minutes		99.2%		98.9%	0	98.9%	95%
26 Retained (RDS) female firefighters as new entrants 27 Minority ethnic staff as new entrants to the FRS 28 Disabled empolyees as new entrants to the FRS 30 Number of working days/shifts lost due to sickness 29 Number of workplace reported accidents / injuries 30 Number of RIDDOR incidents 4 0 8 14  6 Expenditure per head of the population Affordable community safety services 31 Affordable community safety services A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			% of LTI attended within 8 minutes with a minimum of 8 crew		N/a		57.1%		58.8%	60%
27 Minority ethnic staff as new entrants to the FRS 28 Disabled empolyees as new entrants to the FRS 30 Number of working days/shifts lost due to sickness 29 Number of RIDDOR incidents 30 Number of RIDDOR incidents 4 0 8 14  6 Expenditure per head of the population 31 Affordable community safety services 34 To achieve a 3.5% reduction in CO2 & greenhouse gas emissions  Key  On Target  Within 10%  Annual Indicator Annual Indicator Annual Indicator Folial to meet target  Within 10% of Target  Falled to meet target  Within 10% of Target  Falled to meet target			% of LTI attended within 13 minutes with a minimum of 8 crew		N/a		85.2%		85.7%	90%
Minority ethnic staff as new entrants to the FRS   A   A   A   A   A   A   A   A   A	10	26	Retained (RDS) female firefighters as new entrants		Α		Α		Α	15%
5 The no of working days/shifts lost due to sickness 2.5 2.1 8.3 7.5  Number of workplace reported accidents / injuries 3 1 25 129 124  Number of RIDDOR incidents 4 0 8 14  Expenditure per head of the population A A A A £51.50  Affordable community safety services A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Obj.	27	Minority ethnic staff as new entrants to the FRS		Α		Α		Α	3.5%
Number of workplace reported accidents / injuries  31	$oxed{oxed}$	28	Disabled empolyees as new entrants to the FRS		Α		Α		Α	5%
29 Number of workplace reported accidents / injuries 30 Number of RIDDOR incidents 4 0 8 14  6 Expenditure per head of the population 31 Affordable community safety services 32 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	<i>(</i> 0	5	The no of working days/shifts lost due to sickness		2.5		2.1	8	8.3	7.5
30 Number of RIDDOR incidents  4 0 8 14  6 Expenditure per head of the population  31 Affordable community safety services  34 To achieve a 3.5% reduction in CO2 & greenhouse gas emissions  Key  On Target  Off Target  Within 10%  Annual Indicator  Total  On Target  Within 10%  Failed to meet target	Obj 6	29	Number of workplace reported accidents / injuries		31	0	25		129	124
31 Affordable community safety services  34 To achieve a 3.5% reduction in CO2 & greenhouse gas emissions  Key  On Target  Off Target  Within 10%  Annual Indicator  Total  On Target  Within 10% On Target  Failed to meet target	Ľ	30	Number of RIDDOR incidents		4	0	0	0	8	14
34 To achieve a 3.5% reduction in CO2 & greenhouse gas emissions A A A A 3.50%  Key On Target Off T		6	Expenditure per head of the population		Α		Α		Α	£51.50
34 To achieve a 3.5% reduction in CO2 & greenhouse gas emissions A A A A 3.50%  Key On Target Off T	Obj 7	31	Affordable community safety services		Α		Α		Α	-
Off Target  Within 10%  Annual Indicator A  Total  On Target  Within 10% of Target  Failed to meet target	Ĺ	34	To achieve a 3.5% reduction in CO2 & greenhouse gas emissions				Α		Α	3.50%
Within 10%  Annual Indicator A  Total On Target  Within 10% of Target  Failed to meet target		Key	On Target							
Total On Target  Within 10% of Target  Failed to meet target			Within 10%		0	1				
Within 10% of Target Failed to meet target		Total			Α	$\mathbf{I}$				
			Within 10% of Target	F		1				