

### East Sussex Fire Authority Year ending 31 March 2013

**Audit Plan** 

April 2013

# **UERNST&YOUNG**

Members of the Scrutiny & Audit Panel East Sussex Fire Authority 20 Upperton Road Eastbourne East Sussex BN21 1EU April 2013

**Dear Members** 

#### Audit Plan 2012/13

We are pleased to attach our Audit Plan which sets out how we intend to carry out our responsibilities as auditor. The purpose of this report is to provide the Scrutiny and Audit Panel with a basis to review our proposed audit approach and scope for the 2012/13 audit, in accordance with the requirements of the Audit Commission Act 1998, the Code of Audit Practice, the Standing Guidance, auditing standards and other professional requirements, but also to ensure that our audit is aligned with the Committee's service expectations.

This report summarises our assessment of the key risks which drive the development of an effective audit for the East Sussex Fire Authority, and outlines our planned audit strategy in response to those risks.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss this report with you on 11 April 2013 as well as understand whether there are other matters which you consider may influence our audit.

Yours faithfully

Paul King Director

For and behalf of Ernst & Young LLP Apex Plaza Forbury Road Reading RG1 1YE

#### Contents

| 1.  | Overview  | ·   | 2  |
|-----|-----------|---|----|
| 2.  | Financial | Statement Risks   | 4  |
| 3.  | Economy   | y, Efficiency & Effectiveness                                 | 5  |
| 4.  | Our audit | process and strategy  | 6  |
| 5.  | Independ  | ence  | 10 |
| App | oendix A  | Fees  | 13 |
| App | endix B   | UK required communications with those charged with governance | 14 |

# 1. Overview

#### Context for the audit

This audit plan covers the work that we plan to perform in order to provide you with:

- Our audit opinion on whether the financial statements of East Sussex Fire Authority give a true and fair view of the financial position as at 31 March 2013 and of the income and expenditure for the year then ended; and
- ► A statutory conclusion on the East Sussex Fire Authority's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

We will also review and report to the National Audit Office ('NAO'), to the extent and in the form required by them, on your Whole of Government Accounts return.

When planning the audit we take into account several key inputs:

- ▶ Strategic, operational and financial risks relevant to the financial statements.
- ► Developments in financial reporting and auditing standards.
- ► The quality of systems and processes.
- ► Changes in the business and regulatory environment.
- ► Management's views on all of the above.

By considering these inputs, our audit is focused on the areas that matter. And by focusing on the areas that matter, our feedback is more likely to be relevant to the Fire Authority.

Our audit will also include the mandatory procedures that we are required to perform in accordance with applicable laws and auditing standards.

In part 2 and 3 of this report we provide more detail on the areas which we believe present significant risk to the financial statements audit, and outline our plans to address these risks.

#### Our process and strategy

- Financial Statement Audit
  - We will apply the concept of materiality in planning and performing our audit, in evaluating the effect of any identified misstatements and in forming our opinion. We set our materiality based on the Fire Authority's level of gross expenditure. We also consider qualitative issues, such as the impact on the public's and other stakeholder understanding of your accounts and the information contained. Our audit is designed to identify errors above materiality.
  - ► We aim to rely on the Fire Authority's internal controls in the key financial systems to the fullest extent allowed by auditing standards. We identify the controls we consider important and seek to place reliance on internal audit's testing of those controls. Where control failures are identified we consider the most appropriate steps to take.
  - We seek to place reliance on the work of Internal Audit wherever possible. We have already liaised with Internal Audit and have commenced our review and reperformance of their work.
- Arrangements for securing Economy, Efficiency and Effectiveness
  - ► We adopt a risk based approach, and following guidance issued by the audit commission make our assessment against two criteria. Our work will focus on whether there are proper arrangements in place for securing financial resilience at the Fire Authority; and whether there are proper arrangements in place at the Authority to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources.
  - We adopt an integrated audit approach such that our work on the financial statement audit feeds into our consideration of the arrangements in place for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

# 2. Financial Statement Risks

We outline below our assessment of the key strategic or operational risks and the financial statement risks facing East Sussex Fire Authority, identified through our knowledge of the entity's operations and discussion with members and officers.

At our meeting, we will seek to validate these with you.

We have not identified any significant risks. We have included a general risk relating to the risk of misstatement due to fraud and error.

| Other financial statement risks   | Our audit approach  |  |
|---|---|--|
| Risk of misstatement due to fraud and error   |   |  |
| Management has the primary responsibility to prevent<br>and detect fraud. It is important that management, with   | Based on the requirements of auditing standards our<br>approach will focus on:  |  |
| the oversight of those charged with governance, has put<br>in place a culture of ethical behaviour and a strong   | Identifying fraud risks during the planning stages.   |  |
| control environment that both deters and prevents fraud.  | Inquiry of management about risks of fraud and the controls put in place to address those risks.                          |  |
| Our responsibility is to plan and perform audits to obtain<br>reasonable assurance about whether the financial<br>statements as a whole are free of material<br>minimum and by array or fraud. As   | Understanding the oversight given by those charged<br>with governance of management's processes over<br>fraud.            |  |
| misstatements whether caused by error or fraud. As<br>auditors, we approach each engagement with a<br>questioning mind that accepts the possibility that a<br>material misstatement due to fraud could occur, and<br>design the appropriate procedures to consider such risk. | Consideration of the effectiveness of management's controls designed to address the risk of fraud.                        |  |
|   | Determining an appropriate strategy to address<br>those identified risks of fraud.  |  |
| This risk is common to most audits.   | Performing mandatory procedures regardless of specifically identified fraud risks.  |  |
|   | We will consider the results of the National Fraud<br>Initiative and may make reference to it in our reporting to<br>you. |  |

# 3. Economy, Efficiency & Effectiveness

Our work will focus on:

over the medium term.

- 1. Whether there are proper arrangements in place for securing financial resilience at East Sussex Fire Authority; and
- 2. Whether there are proper arrangements in place at East Sussex Fire Authority to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources.

The table below provides a high-level summary of our risk assessment and our proposed response to those risks.

We have not identified any significant risks to the value for money (vfm) conclusion. However, we have identified the following key areas that we will consider to support our vfm conclusion:

| Value for Money risks  | Impacts arrangements for<br>securing: | Our audit approach  |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| Medium term planning   |                                       |   |
|  | Financial resilience                  |   |
| Following the latest local government  |                                       | Our approach will focus on:   |
| settlement the Fire Authority faces a significant resources gap of £3.4 million by the end of 2017/18. A savings plan is being drawn up during 2013/14 to  |                                       | The Fire Authority's progress at<br>developing a robust savings plan to<br>deliver the required savings ; and   |
| deliver efficiencies to meet this<br>resource gap.<br>However, the scale of savings required<br>means the Fire Authority needs to<br>radically challenge its current service<br>delivery models and look for new ways<br>of working. |                                       | The robustness of the plans to move<br>the Fire Authority to a more financially<br>sustainable operational model over the<br>medium term including the<br>consideration of new ways of working. |
| There is a risk that the Fire Authority<br>fails to establish sufficiently radical<br>plans to ensure its financial resilience   |                                       |   |

# 4. Our audit process and strategy

#### 4.1 **Objective and scope of our audit**

Under the Audit Commission's Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'), dated March 2010, our principle objectives are to review and report on, to the extent required by the relevant legislation and the requirements of the Code, the East Sussex Fire Authority's:

i) financial statements; and

ii) arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

We issue a two-part audit report covering both of these objectives.

i) Financial Statement Audit

Our objective is to form an opinion on the financial statements under International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland).

We will also review and report to the National Audit Office ('NAO'), to the extent and in the form required by them, on your Whole of Government Accounts return

ii) Arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness

The Code sets out our responsibility to satisfy ourselves that the Fire Authority has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. In arriving at our conclusion, to the fullest extent possible we will place reliance on the reported results of the work of other statutory inspectorates in relation to corporate or service performance. In examining the Fire Authority's corporate performance management and financial management arrangements we have regard to the following criteria and areas of focus specified by the Audit Commission:

- Arrangements for securing financial resilience whether the Fire Authority has robust systems and processes to manage financial risks and opportunities effectively, and to secure a stable financial position that enables it to continue to operate for the foreseeable future; and
- Arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness whether the Fire Authority is prioritising its resources within tighter budgets, for example by achieving cost reductions and by improving efficiency and productivity.

#### 4.2 Audit process overview

As part of our audit planning procedures we have assessed the design of your internal controls, determining that an effective audit strategy will be to take a controls reliance approach. Therefore, we will test the controls we determine as key to preventing and detecting material misstatement in the processes we list below.

In implementing this strategy, we intend to place reliance on the work of internal audit as much as possible, while complying with the requirements of auditing standards. The intended pieces of Internal audit work identified as directly relevant to our audit strategy are:

► Critical financial assurance.

#### Processes

Our initial assessment of the key processes across the Fire Authority has identified the following key processes where we will seek to test key controls, both manual and IT:

- Accounts receivable;
- Procure to pay;
- Cash processing;
- Payroll;
- Property, plant & equipment.
- Fire Fighters' Pension Fund

The Fire Authority's financial accounting and payroll processes are outsourced to East Sussex County Council however we have been given access directly to the systems and have been able to carry out our systems and controls testing ourselves.

#### Analytics

We will use our computer-based analytics tools to enable us to capture whole populations of your financial data, in particular in respect of payroll and journal entries. These tools:

- help identify specific exceptions and anomalies which can then be subject to more traditional substantive audit tests; and
- give greater likelihood of identifying errors than random sampling techniques.

#### Internal audit

As in prior years, we will review internal audit plans and the results of work undertaken. We will reflect the findings from these reports, together with reports from other work completed in the year, in our regular progress reports, where issues are raised that could impact the yearend financial statements.

#### Use of experts

We will utilise specialist Ernst & Young resource, as necessary, to help us to form a view on judgments made in the financial statements. Our plan currently includes the involvement of specialists in the following areas:

- Property, plant & equipment valuation; and
- Pension liabilities Local Government and Fire Fighter Pension Funds.

In addition to the key areas of emphasis outlined above, we have to perform other procedures as required by auditing, ethical and independence standards, the Code and other regulations. We outline the procedures we will undertake during the course of our audit.

#### Mandatory procedures required by auditing standards on:

- Addressing the risk of fraud and error.
- Significant disclosures included in the financial statements.
- Entity-wide controls.
- Reading other information contained in the financial statements and reporting whether it is inconsistent with our understanding and the financial statements.
- Auditor independence.

#### Procedures required by the Code

- Reviewing, and reporting on as appropriate, other information published with the financial statements, including the Annual Governance Statement and the Remuneration Report.
- Reviewing and reporting on the Whole of Government accounts return, in line with the instructions issued by the NAO.
- Reviewing, and where appropriate, examining evidence that is relevant to the Fire Authority's corporate performance management and financial management arrangements and reporting on these arrangements.

#### 4.3 Materiality

For the purposes of determining whether the accounts are free from material error, we define materiality as the magnitude of an omission or misstatement that, individually or in the aggregate, in light of the surrounding circumstances, could reasonably be expected to influence the users of the financial statements. Our evaluation of it requires professional judgement and necessarily takes into account qualitative as well as quantitative considerations implicit in the definition. We would be happy to discuss with you your expectations regarding our detection of misstatements in the financial statements.

The amount we consider material at the end of the audit may differ from our initial determination. At this stage, however, it is not feasible to anticipate all of the circumstances that may ultimately influence our judgement about materiality. At the end of the audit we will form our final opinion by reference to all matters that could be significant to users of the accounts, including the total effect of the audit misstatements we identify, and our evaluation of materiality at that date.

ISA (UK & Ireland) 450 (revised) requires us to record all misstatements identified except those that are "clearly trivial". We intend to treat misstatements less than £54,600 as clearly trivial. All uncorrected misstatements found above this amount will be presented to you in our year-end report.

#### 4.4 Fees

The Audit Commission has published a scale fee for all authorities. The scale fee is defined as the fee required by auditors to meet statutory responsibilities under the Audit Commission Act in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice 2010. The indicative fee scale for the audit of the Authority is £41,021.

#### 4.5 Your audit team

The engagement team is led by Paul King, who has significant experience within the health economy. Paul King is supported by Rose Coates who is responsible for the day-to-day direction of audit work, and Hannah Champion who is the key point of contact for the finance team.

#### 4.6 Timetable of communication, deliverables and insights

We have set out below a timetable showing the key stages of the audit, including the value for money work and the whole of government accounts; and the deliverables we have agreed to provide to you through the audit committee cycle in 2013. These dates are determined to ensure our alignment with the Audit Commission's rolling calendar of deadlines.

We will provide a formal report to the Scrutiny & Audit Panel in September 2013. From time to time matters may arise that require immediate communication with the Scrutiny & Audit Panel and we will discuss them with the Scrutiny & Audit Panel Chairman as appropriate.

Following the conclusion of our audit we will prepare an annual audit letter in order to communicate to the Authority and external stakeholders, including members of the public, the key issues arising from our work.

| Audit phase                               | Timetable        | Audit Committee timetable | Deliverables   |
|---|------------------|---------------------------|--|
| High level planning:                      | March            |                           | Audit Fee letter   |
| Risk assessment and setting of scopes     | April            | Scrutiny & Audit<br>Panel | Audit Plan   |
| Testing of routine processes and controls | March            |                           |  |
| Year-end audit                            | July – September | Scrutiny & Audit<br>Panel | Report to those charged with governance  |
|   |                  |                           | Audit report (including our opinion on the financial statements and a conclusion as to whether the Authority has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources). |
|   |                  |                           | Audit completion certificate   |
|   | Oct              |                           | Annual Audit Letter  |

In addition to the above formal reporting and deliverables we will seek to provide practical business insights and updates on regulatory matters.

# 5. Independence

#### **5.1 Introduction**

The APB Ethical Standards and ISA (UK and Ireland) 260 "Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance", requires us to communicate with you on a timely basis on all significant facts and matters that bear upon our independence and objectivity. The Ethical Standards, as revised in December 2010, require that we communicate formally both at the planning stage and at the conclusion of the audit, as well as during the course of the audit if appropriate. The aim of these communications is to ensure full and fair disclosure by us to those charged with your governance on matters in which you have an interest.

| Required communications   |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Planning stage  | Final stage   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>The principal threats, if any, to objectivity and independence identified by Ernst &amp; Young (EY) including consideration of all relationships between the you, your affiliates and directors and us;</li> <li>The safeguards adopted and the reasons why they are considered to be effective, including any Engagement Quality review;</li> </ul> | A written disclosure of relationships<br>(including the provision of non-audit<br>services) that bear on our objectivity and<br>independence, the threats to our<br>independence that these create, any<br>safeguards that we have put in place<br>and why they address such threats,<br>together with any other information<br>necessary to enable our objectivity and<br>independence to be assessed; |  |  |
| The overall assessment of threats and safeguards;   | Details of non-audit services provided<br>and the fees charged in relation thereto;   |  |  |
| Information about the general policies<br>and process within EY to maintain<br>objectivity and independence.  | <ul> <li>Written confirmation that we are<br/>independent;</li> </ul>   |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Details of any inconsistencies between<br/>APB Ethical Standards, the Audit<br/>Commission's Standing Guidance and<br/>your policy for the supply of non-audit<br/>services by EY and any apparent breach<br/>of that policy; and</li> <li>An opportunity to discuss auditor</li> </ul>  |  |  |
|   | independence issues.  |  |  |

In addition, during the course of the audit, we are required to communicate with you whenever any significant judgements are made about threats to objectivity and independence and the appropriateness of safeguards put in place, for example, when accepting an engagement to provide non-audit services.

We also provide information on any contingent fee arrangements, the amounts of any future services that have been contracted, and details of any written proposal to provide non-audit services that has been submitted;

We ensure that the total amount of fees that EY and our network firms have charged to you and your affiliates for the provision of services during the reporting period, analysed in appropriate categories, are disclosed.

# 5.2 Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

We highlight the following significant facts and matters that may be reasonably considered to bear upon our objectivity and independence, including the principal threats, if any. However we have adopted the safeguards noted below to mitigate these threats along with the reasons why they are considered to be effective.

#### Self interest threats

A self interest threat arises when EY has financial or other interests in your entity. Examples include where we have an investment in your entity; where we receives significant fees in respect of non-audit services; where we need to recover long outstanding fees; or where we enter into a business relationship with you. At the time of writing, there are no long outstanding fees.

We believe that it is appropriate for us to undertake permissible non-audit services and we will comply with the policies that you have approved and that are in compliance with the Audit Commission's Standing Guidance.

A self interest threat may also arise if members of our audit engagement team have objectives or are rewarded in relation to sales of non-audit services to you. We confirm that no member of our audit engagement team, including those from other service lines, has objectives or is rewarded in relation to sales to you, in compliance with Ethical Standard 4.

There are no other self interest threats at the date of this report.

#### Self review threats

Self review threats arise when the results of a non-audit service performed by EY or others within the EY network are reflected in the amounts included or disclosed in the financial statements.

There are no self review threats at the date of this report.

#### Management threats

Partners and employees of EY are prohibited from taking decisions on behalf of management of your entity. Management threats may also arise during the provision of a non-audit service in relation to which management is required to make judgements or decision based on that work.

There are no management threats at the date of this report.

#### Other threats

Other threats, such as advocacy, familiarity or intimidation, may arise.

There are no other threats at the date of this report.

#### **Overall Assessment**

Overall, we consider that the safeguards that have been adopted appropriately mitigate the principal threats identified and we therefore confirm that EY is independent and the objectivity and independence of Paul King, your audit engagement partner and the audit engagement team have not been compromised.

#### 5.3 Other required communications

Ernst & Young (EY) has policies and procedures that instil professional values as part of firm culture and ensure that the highest standards of objectivity, independence and integrity are maintained.

Details of the key policies and processes in place within EY for maintaining objectivity and independence can be found in our annual Transparency Report which the firm is required to publish by law. The most recent version of this Report is for the year ended 29 June 2012 and can be found here:

UK 2012 Transparency Report

# Appendix A Fees

A breakdown of our agreed fee is shown below.

|                             | Planned Fee<br>2012/13 | Actual Fee<br>2011/12 | Explanation of<br>variance                     |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
|                             | £'000                  | £'000                 |  |
| Total Audit Fee – Code work | 41,021                 | 68,369                | Audit<br>Commission<br>procurement<br>exercise |

The agreed fee presented above is based on the following assumptions:

- Officers meeting the agreed timetable of deliverables;
- The operating effectiveness of the internal controls for the key processes outlined in section 4.2 above;
- We are able to place reliance, as planned, on the work of internal audit;
- The level of risk in relation to the audit of accounts in consistent with that in the prior year (where we have prior year experience);
- No significant changes being made by the Audit Commission to the use of resources criteria on which our conclusion will be based;
- Our accounts opinion and use of resources conclusion being unqualified;
- Appropriate quality of documentation is provided by the audited body;
- ► The Authority has an effective control environment.

If any of the above assumptions prove to be unfounded, we will seek a variation to the agreed fee. This will be discussed with you in advance.

Fees for the auditor's consideration of correspondence from the public and formal objections will be charged in addition to the scale fee.

# Appendix B UK required communications with those charged with governance

There are certain communications that we must provide to the Scrutiny & Audit Panel. These are detailed here:

| Required communication  | Reference  |
|---|--|
|   |  |
| Planning and audit approach<br>Communication of the planned scope and timing of the audit including any limitations.  | Audit Plan   |
| Significant findings from the audit   | Report to those charged with                                     |
| Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices<br>including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement<br>disclosures  | governance   |
| Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit  |  |
| Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management   |  |
| Written representations that we are seeking   |  |
| Expected modifications to the audit report  |  |
| • Other matters if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process   |  |
| Findings and issues regarding the opening balance on first year audits  |  |
| Misstatements   | Report to those charged with                                     |
| Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion   | governance   |
| The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods  |  |
| A request that any uncorrected misstatement be corrected  |  |
| In writing, corrected misstatements that are significant  |  |
| Fraud   | Report to those charged with                                     |
| Enquiries of the Scrutiny & Audit Panel to determine whether they have<br>knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the entity  | governance and Annual<br>Audit Letter if considered<br>necessary |
| Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates<br>that a fraud may exist  | ·  |
| A discussion of any other matters related to fraud  |  |
| <b>Related parties</b><br>Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the entity's related parties including, when applicable:  | Report to those charged with governance                          |
| Non-disclosure by management  |  |
| Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions  |  |
| <ul> <li>Disagreement over disclosures</li> </ul>   |  |
| Non-compliance with laws and regulations  |  |
| Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the entity   |  |
| External confirmations  | Report to those charged  |
| Management's refusal for us to request confirmations  | with governance  |
| Inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures  |  |
| Consideration of laws and regulations   | Report to those charged with                                     |
| Audit findings regarding non-compliance where the non-compliance is material<br>and believed to be intentional. This communication is subject to compliance with<br>legislation on tipping off                                  | governance   |
| Enquiry of the Scrutiny & Audit Panel into possible instances of non-compliance<br>with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial<br>statements and that the Scrutiny & Audit Panel may be aware of |  |
| Independence<br>Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on Ernst & Young's   | Audit Plan   |

| Required communication   | Reference  |  |
|--|--|--|
| objectivity and independence<br>Communication of key elements of the audit engagement partner's consideration of<br>independence and objectivity such as:  | Report to those charged with governance  |  |
| The principal threats  |  |  |
| Safeguards adopted and their effectiveness   |  |  |
| An overall assessment of threats and safeguards  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain<br/>objectivity and independence</li> <li>For listed companies, communication of minimum requirements as detailed in the<br/>ethical standards:</li> </ul> |  |  |
| Relationships between Ernst & Young, the audited body and senior management  | t  |  |
| Services provided by Ernst & Young that may reasonably bear on the auditors' objectivity and independence  |  |  |
| Related safeguards   |  |  |
| Fees charged by Ernst & Young analysed into appropriate categories such as<br>statutory audit fees, tax advisory fees, other non-audit service fees  |  |  |
| A statement of compliance with the ethical standards   |  |  |
| The Scrutiny & Audit Panel should also be provided an opportunity to discuss<br>matters affecting auditor independence   |  |  |
| Going concern<br>Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to<br>continue as a going concern, including:   | Report to those charged with governance and Annual   |  |
| Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty   | Audit Letter if considered   |  |
| Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements   | necessary  |  |
| The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements  |  |  |
| Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit  | Report to those charged with governance  |  |
| Opening Balances (first year audits)   |  |  |
| Findings and issues regarding the opening balance of initial audits  | Audit Plan<br>Report to those charged with<br>governance and Annual<br>Audit Letter if considered<br>necessary |  |
| Fee Information  | Audit Plan   |  |
| <ul> <li>Breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan</li> <li>Breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit</li> </ul>   | Report to those charged with governance  |  |

#### Ernst & Young LLP

#### Assurance | Tax | Transaction | Advisory

#### www.ey.com/uk

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

© Ernst & Young LLP 2012. Published in the UK. All rights reserved.